IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2808 OF 2005

Natwar Nagjibhai Parekh ... Petitioner

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & Anr. ... Respondents

Ms. Smita Gaidhani for the petitioner.
Ms. A.K. Sawla for respondent Nos. 1.
Mr. G.S. Godbole for respondent No. 2.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED : 6th November, 2006

P.C.

. Considering letter dated 13.12.2004 relief in terms of prayer clauses (a) & (b) cannot be granted.

2. Insofar as prayer clause (c) is concerned, it will be open to the petitioner to point out to the respondent - Corporation that the pipe-line has been constructed by them with due permission. If the petitioner is able to show and establish the same, the respondent-corporation will call for compliance of other requirements by the petitioner and thereafter respondent-corporation will pass appropriate orders according to law not later than eight weeks from the date of compliance of the requirements.

3. With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of.

[F.I. REBELLO, J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.]